arXiv:1411.0890v1 [math.AP] 4 Nov 2014

The Cauchy problem for the Ostrovsky equation with negative dispersion at the critical regularity

Yongsheng LI[†] Jianhua HUANG[‡] and Wei YAN[*]

[†]Department of Mathematics, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510640, P. R. China

[‡] College of Science, National University of Defense and Technology, Changsha, P. R. China 410073

[*]College of Mathematics and Information Science, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, Henan 453007, P. R. China

Abstract. In this paper, we investigate the Cauchy problem for the Ostrovsky equation

$$\partial_x \left(u_t - \beta \partial_x^3 u + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x (u^2) \right) - \gamma u = 0,$$

in the Sobolev space $H^{-3/4}(\mathbf{R})$. Here $\beta > 0 (< 0)$ corresponds to the positive (negative) dispersion of the media, respectively. P. Isaza and J. Mejía (J. Diff. Eqns. 230(2006), 601-681; Nonli. Anal. 70(2009), 2306-2316), K. Tsugawa (J. Diff. Eqns. 247(2009), 3163-3180) proved that the problem is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbf{R})$ when $s > -3/4$ and ill-posed when $s < -3/4$. By using some modified Bourgain spaces, we prove that the problem is locally well-posed in $H^{-3/4}(\mathbf{R})$ with $\beta < 0$ and $\gamma > 0$. The new ingredient that we introduce in this paper is Lemmas 2.1-2.6.

Keywords: Ostrovsky equation; Cauchy problem; Critical regularity; Dyadic bilinear estimates

AMS Subject Classification: 35G25

Short Title: The Cauchy problem for the Ostrovsky Equation

Corresponding Author: J.Huang, Email: jhhuang32@nudt.edu.cn

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the Ostrovsky equation

$$\partial_x \left(u_t - \beta \partial_x^3 u + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x (u^2) \right) - \gamma u = 0.$$

This equation is a mathematical model of the propagation of weakly nonlinear long waves in a rotating liquid. It was introduced by Ostrovsky in [27] as a model for weakly nonlinear long waves, by taking into account of the Coriolis force, to describe the propagation of surface waves in the ocean in a rotating frame of reference. The parameter γ is a positive number and measures the effect of rotation, and the parameter β is a nozero real number of both signs and reflects the type of dispersion of the media. When $\beta < 0$, the equation has negative dispersion and describes surface and internal waves in the ocean and surface waves in a shallow channel with an uneven bottom. When $\beta > 0$, the equation has positive dispersion and describes capillary waves on the surface of liquid or for oblique magneto-acoustic waves (see [2, 5, 6]). In the absence of rotation (that is, $\gamma = 0$), it becomes the Korteweg-de Vries equation. By changing variables the above Ostrovsky equation can be written in the form

$$u_t - \beta \partial_x^3 u + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x (u^2) - \gamma \partial_x^{-1} u = 0. \tag{1.1}$$

The Ostrovsky equation has many important properties, such as solitary waves or soliton solutions, etc., and it has closed relation to the KdV equation (see [22, 23, 26, 30]). It draws much attention of physists and mathematician. Many people have investigated the Cauchy problem for (1.1), for instance, see [7, 9–17, 21–23, 28–30]. By using the Fourier retriction norm method introduced in [3, 4], Isaza and Mejía [13] proved that (1.1) is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbf{R})$ with $s > -\frac{3}{4}$ in the negative dispersion case and is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbf{R})$ with $s > -\frac{1}{2}$ in the positive dispersion case. Later they showed the ill-posedness in $H^s(\mathbf{R})$ for $s < -\frac{3}{4}$ ([15]). Recently, Tsugawa [26] proved the time local well-posedness in some anisotropic Sobolev space $H^{s,a}(\mathbf{R})$ with $s > -a/2 - 3/4$ and $0 \le a \le 1$. The result includes the time local well-posedness in $H^s(\mathbf{R})$ with $s > -3/4$ for both positive and negative dispersion Ostrovsky equation. Thus, $s = -\frac{3}{4}$ is the critical regularity index for (1.1) in the both dispersion cases. Tsugawa considered also the weak rotation limit and proved that the solution of the Ostrovsky equation converges to the

solution of the KdV equation when the rotation parameter γ goes to 0. However, the well-posedness of the Ostrovsky equation in the critical case has been still open.

In this paper we study the Cauchy problem of the Ostrovsky equation (1.1) with negative dispersion complimented with the initial condition

$$u(0,x)=u_0(x),\quad x\in\mathbf{R}. \tag{1.2}$$

Compared with the KdV equation, the structure of the Ostrovsky equation is more complicated. More precisely, the phase function of the KdV equation is the smooth function ξ^3, while the phase function of the Ostrovsky equation is $\beta\xi^3+\frac{\gamma}{\xi}$, which has a singular point $\xi=0$. For the KdV equation, just as done in [1], two simple identities $a^3+b^3-\frac{(a+b)^3}{4}=\frac{3}{4}(a+b)(a-b)^2$ and $(a+b)^3-a^3-b^3=3ab(a+b)$ are valid to establish some key bilinear estimates, which guarantee the wellposedness in the critical space $H^s(\mathbf{R})$ with $s=-3/4$ (see Guo [8] and Kishimoto [20]). Obviously, if $u=u(x,t)$ is the solution to (1.1), then $v(x,t)=\beta^{-1}u(x,-\beta^{-1}t)$ is the solution to the following equation

$$v_t+v_{xxx}+\frac{1}{2}(v^2)_x+\beta^{-1}\gamma\partial_x^{-1}v=0. \tag{1.3}$$

Without loss of generality, throughout this paper, we can assume that $\beta=-1,\gamma=1$. For the Ostrovsky equation in this paper, the identities that we can utilize are

$$a^3-\frac{1}{a}+b^3-\frac{1}{b}-\frac{(a+b)^3}{4}+\frac{4}{a+b}=\frac{3}{4}(a+b)(a-b)^2\left[1-\frac{4}{3ab(a+b)^2}\right]$$

and

$$(a+b)^3-\frac{1}{a+b}-a^3+\frac{1}{a}-b^3+\frac{1}{b}=3ab(a+b)+\frac{a^2+ab+b^2}{ab(a+b)}.$$

These identities enable us to construct reasonable splitting of the spectral domains so as to establish the crucial bilinear estimates for the local wellposedness of the problem. As in [1, 8, 18–20, 25], we may apply appropriate Besov-type spaces to establish the dyadic bilinear estimates and finally we are able to show that the Cauchy problem for (1.1) is locally well-posed in $H^{-3/4}(\mathbf{R})$ with $\beta<0,\gamma>0$.

We give some notations before stating the main results. Throughout this paper, $0<\epsilon<10^{-4}$. C is a positive constant which may vary from line to line. $A\sim B$ means that $|B|\le|A|\le 4|B|$. $A\gg B$ means that $|A|\ge 4|B|$. $\psi(t)$ is a smooth function

supported in $[-1,2]$ and equals to 1 in $[0,1]$. $\mathscr{F}$ denotes the Fourier transformation with respect to both space and time variables and $\mathscr{F}^{-1}$ denotes the inverse transformation of $\mathscr{F}$, while $\mathscr{F}_x$ denotes the Fourier transformation with respect to the space variable and $\mathscr{F}_x^{-1}$ denotes the inverse transformation of $\mathscr{F}_x^{-1}$. Denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
D &:= \left\{(\tau,\xi)\in\mathbf{R}^2 : |\xi|\le 1/8, |\tau|\ge|\xi|^{-3}\right\},\\
A_j &= \left\{(\tau,\xi)\in\mathbf{R}^2 : 2^j\le\langle\xi\rangle<2^{j+1}\right\},\\
B_k &= \left\{(\tau,\xi)\in\mathbf{R}^2 : 2^k\le\left\langle\tau-\xi^3+\frac{1}{\xi}\right\rangle<2^{k+1}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where j,k are nonnegative integers. The restriction $|\xi|\le\frac{1}{8}$ in the spectral domain D is chosen according to the structure of the phase function $-\xi^3+\frac{1}{\xi}$ of the Ostrovsky equation.

The Bourgain space $X^{s,\,b}=\left\{u\in\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^2)\,:\,\|u\|_{X^{s,\,b}}<\infty\right\}$ is defined by the norm

$$
\|u\|_{X^{s,\,b}}=\left\|\langle\xi\rangle^s\left\langle\tau-\xi^3+\frac{1}{\xi}\right\rangle^b\mathscr{F}u\right\|_{L^2_{\tau\xi}(\mathbf{R}^2)}.
$$

The space $X^{s,\,b,\,1}=\left\{u\in\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^2)\,:\,\|u\|^{X_{s,\,b,\,1}}<\infty\right\}$ is defined by where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{X^{s,b,1}} &= \left\|\left(\left\|\langle\xi\rangle^s\left\langle\tau-\xi^3+\frac{1}{\xi}\right\rangle^b\mathscr{F}u\right\|_{L^2_{\tau\xi}(A_j\cap B_k)}\right)_{j,\,k\ge0}\right\|_{\ell^2_j(\ell^1_k)}\\
&\sim \left[\sum_j 2^{js}\left(\sum_k 2^{bk}\|\mathscr{F}u\|_{L^2_{\tau\xi}(A_j\cap B_k)}\right)^2\right]^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall also use the norms $\|u\|_X$ and $\|u\|_Y$ of the spaces

$$
\begin{aligned}
X &= \left\{u\in\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^2)\,:\,\|u\|_X<\infty\right\},\\
Y &= \left\{u\in\mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^2)\,:\,\|u\|_Y<\infty\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_X &= \left\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}[\chi_{D^c}\mathscr{F}u]\right\|_{X^{-\frac{3}{4},\frac{1}{2},1}}+\left\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}[\chi_D\mathscr{F}u]\right\|_{X^{-\frac{3}{4},\frac{1}{2}}},\\
\|u\|_Y &= \left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{-3/4}\mathscr{F}u\right\|_{L^2_\xi L^1_\tau},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D^c=\mathbf{R}^2_{\tau\xi}\setminus D$ is the complementary set of D given above. The spaces $\hat{X}, \hat{X}^{s,\,b,\,1}$ and $\hat{X}^{s,\,b}$ are defined corresponding to the following norms

$$
\|f\|_{\hat{X}}=\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}f\|_X,\quad \|f\|_{\hat{X}^{s,b,1}}=\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}f\|_{X^{s,b,1}},\quad \|f\|_{\hat{X}^{s,b}}=\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}f\|_{X^{s,b}}.
$$

The space X_T is the restriction of X onto the finite time interval $[-T,T]$ and is defined according to the norm

$$\|u\|_{X_T} = \inf\{\|v\|_X : v \in X, u(t) = v(t) \text{ for } -T \le t \le T\}. \tag{1.4}$$

The main result of this paper is as follow.

Theorem 1.1. *The Cauchy problem (1.1)(1.2) is locally well-posed in $H^{-3/4}(\mathbf{R})$ with $\beta < 0, \gamma > 0$. That is, for $u_0 \in H^{-3/4}(\mathbf{R})$, there exist a $T > 0$ and a solution $u \in C([-T,T]; H^{-3/4}(\mathbf{R}))$, and the solution map $u_0 \mapsto u(t)$ is locally Lipschitz continuous from $H^{-3/4}(\mathbf{R})$ into $C([-T,T]; H^{-3/4}(\mathbf{R}))$.*

The rest of the paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2, we give some preliminaries. In Section 3, we show two crucial dyadic bilinear estimates and then apply them to establish bilinear estimates. In Section 4, we prove the Theorem 1.1. Finally in Section 5, we give an appendix and show two examples of the bilinear estimates in standard Bourgain spaces.

Remark: Local well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for the Ostrovsky equation with positive dispersion at the critical regularity and the global well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for the Ostrovsky equation in $H^s(\mathbf{R})$ with $s \ge -3/4$ has not been established up to now, we will be devoted to the problem later.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we make some preparations. These includes the estimates for some convolutions and basic inequality about the the phase functions which are used to get the dyadic bilinear estimates in the Section 3. We also give some elementary estimates for the unitary group corresponding to the Ostrovky equation.

Lemma 2.1. *Assume that $f, g \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^2)$, $\operatorname{supp} f \subset A_{j_1}$, $\operatorname{supp} g \subset A_{j_2}$ and*

$$K := \inf\{|\xi_1 - \xi_2| : \exists\, \tau_1, \tau_2,\ s.t.\ (\xi_1,\tau_1) \in \operatorname{supp} f,\ (\xi_2,\tau_2) \in \operatorname{supp} g\} > 0,$$

If

$$(\xi_1,\tau_1) \in \operatorname{supp} f,\ (\xi_2,\tau_2) \in \operatorname{supp} g,\ \xi_1\xi_2 < 0 \tag{2.1}$$

or

$$(\xi_1,\tau_1)\in \operatorname{supp} f,\ (\xi_2,\tau_2)\in \operatorname{supp} g,\ \xi_1\xi_2\geq 0,\quad \left|1-\frac{4}{3\xi^2\xi_1\xi_2}\right|>\frac{1}{2}, \tag{2.2}$$

then

$$\||\xi|^{1/4} f*g\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}\leq C\|f\|_{\hat{X}^{0,\frac{1}{2},1}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}^{0,\frac{1}{2},1}}, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\||\xi|^{1/2} f*g\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}\leq CK^{-1/2}\|f\|_{\hat{X}^{0,\frac{1}{2},1}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}^{0,\frac{1}{2},1}}. \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. First we prove

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\int_{\substack{\xi=\xi_1+\xi_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}} (\chi_{B_{k_1}}f)(\xi_1,\tau_1)\,(\chi_{B_{k_2}}g)(\xi_2,\tau_2)\,|\xi|^{1/4}h(\tau,\xi)d\xi_1 d\tau_1 d\xi d\tau\right|\\ &\leq C2^{\frac{k_1+k_2}{2}}\|f\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}(B_{k_1})}\|g\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}(B_{k_2})}\|h\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\int_{\substack{\xi=\xi_1+\xi_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}} (\chi_{B_{k_1}}f)(\xi_1,\tau_1)\,(\chi_{B_{k_2}}g)(\xi_2,\tau_2)\,|\xi|^{1/2}\,h(\xi,\tau)d\xi_1 d\tau_1 d\xi d\tau\right|\\ &\leq CK^{-1/2}2^{\frac{k_1+k_2}{2}}\,\|f\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}(B_{k_1})}\,\|g\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}(B_{k_2})}\,\|h\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}}\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

if (2.1) or (2.2) is valid. By using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and the Fubini theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\int_{\substack{\xi=\xi_1+\xi_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}} (\chi_{B_{k_1}}f)(\xi_1,\tau_1)\,(\chi_{B_{k_2}}g)(\xi_2,\tau_2)\,h(\xi,\tau)d\xi_1 d\tau_1 d\xi d\tau\right|\\ &\leq C\sup_{(\xi,\,\tau)\in\mathbf{R}^2} m_1(\xi,\tau)^{1/2}\,\|f\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}(B_{k_1})}\,\|g\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}(B_{k_2})}\,\|h\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}},\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}m_1(\tau,\xi)&=\int \chi_{\Lambda_1}(\xi_1,\tau_1,\xi,\tau)d\xi_1 d\tau_1,\\ \Lambda_1&:=\left\{(\xi_1,\tau_1,\xi,\tau)\in\mathbf{R}^4\,:\,(\xi_1,\tau_1)\in\operatorname{supp} f,\ (\xi_2,\tau_2)\in\operatorname{supp} g\right\},\end{aligned}$$

in which $\tau=\tau_1+\tau_2, \xi=\xi_1+\xi_2$ and (2.1) or (2.2) is valid. Thus, the proofs of (2.5) and (2.6) are reduced to

$$m_1(\tau,\xi)\leq C\min\left\{|\xi|^{-1/2}2^{k_1+k_2}, K^{-1}|\xi|^{-1}2^{k_1+k_2}\right\}. \tag{2.8}$$

For fixed $\tau, \xi \neq 0$, let E_1 and E_2 be the projections of Λ_1 onto the ξ_1-axis and τ_1-axis respectively. We show

$$\operatorname{mes} E_1 \leq C \min\left\{|\xi|^{-1/2}(2^{k_1/2}+2^{k_2/2}), K^{-1}|\xi|^{-1}(2^{k_1}+2^{k_2})\right\}, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\operatorname{mes} E_2 \leq C \min\left\{2^{k_1}, 2^{k_2}\right\}, \tag{2.10}$$

then (2.8) follows.

As in the introduction, it is easily checked that

$$\begin{aligned}&\tau - \frac{\xi^3}{4} + \frac{4}{\xi} - \left(\tau_1 - \xi_1^3 + \frac{1}{\xi_1}\right) - \left(\tau_2 - \xi_2^3 + \frac{1}{\xi_2}\right)\\ &= \frac{3}{4}\xi(\xi_1-\xi_2)^2\left[1 - \frac{4}{3\xi^2\xi_1\xi_2}\right].\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

From (2.11), we have that

$$\max\left\{\frac{4|M - C(2^{k_1}+2^{k_2})|}{3|\xi|\left|1-\frac{4}{3\xi^2\xi_1\xi_2}\right|}, K^2\right\} \leq |2\xi_1 - \xi|^2 \leq \frac{4|M + C(2^{k_1}+2^{k_2})|}{3|\xi|\left|1-\frac{4}{3\xi^2\xi_1\xi_2}\right|}, \tag{2.12}$$

where $M = \tau - \frac{\xi^3}{4} + \frac{4}{\xi}$ and C is some generic positive constant.

Case (2.1) holds: in this case, $\xi_1\xi_2 < 0$.

When $K \geq \left\{\frac{4|M - C(2^{k_1}+2^{k_2})|}{3|\xi|\left|1-\frac{4}{3\xi^2\xi_1\xi_2}\right|}\right\}^{1/2}$, the length of the interval that $|2\xi_1 - \xi|$ lies in is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\{\frac{4|M + C(2^{k_1}+2^{k_2})|}{3|\xi|\left|1-\frac{4}{3\xi^2\xi_1\xi_2}\right|}\right\}^{1/2} - K\\ &= \frac{\dfrac{4|M + C(2^{k_1}+2^{k_2})|}{3|\xi|\left|1-\frac{4}{3\xi^2\xi_1\xi_2}\right|} - K^2}{\left\{\dfrac{4|M + C(2^{k_1}+2^{k_2})|}{3|\xi|\left|1-\frac{4}{3\xi^2\xi_1\xi_2}\right|}\right\}^{1/2} + K}\\ &\leq \frac{\dfrac{4|M + C(2^{k_1}+2^{k_2})|}{3|\xi|\left|1-\frac{4}{3\xi^2\xi_1\xi_2}\right|} - \dfrac{4|M - C(2^{k_1}+2^{k_2})|}{3|\xi|\left|1-\frac{4}{3\xi^2\xi_1\xi_2}\right|}}{\left\{\dfrac{4|M + C(2^{k_1}+2^{k_2})|}{3|\xi|\left|1-\frac{4}{3\xi^2\xi_1\xi_2}\right|}\right\}^{1/2} + K}\\ &\leq \frac{C(2^{k_1}+2^{k_2})}{|\xi|K\left|1-\frac{4}{3\xi^2\xi_1\xi_2}\right|} \leq \frac{C(2^{k_1}+2^{k_2})}{|\xi|K}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

From the first *inequality* of the above, such length of the interval of $|2\xi_1 - \xi|$ is also bounded by

$$\frac{C(2^{k_1/2} + 2^{k_2/2})}{|\xi|^{1/2}\left|1 - \frac{4}{3\xi^2\xi_1\xi_2}\right|^{1/2}} \le \frac{C(2^{k_1/2} + 2^{k_2/2})}{|\xi|^{1/2}}. \tag{2.14}$$

By (2.13) and (2.14), we obtain that the measure of E_1 in this part is bounded by

$$C \min\left\{|\xi|^{-1/2}(2^{k_1/2} + 2^{k_2/2}), K^{-1}|\xi|^{-1}(2^{k_1} + 2^{k_2})\right\}. \tag{2.15}$$

When $K \le \left\{\frac{4|M - C(2^{k_1} + 2^{k_2})|}{3|\xi|\left|1 - \frac{4}{3\xi^2\xi_1\xi_2}\right|}\right\}^{1/2}$, the length of the interval of $|2\xi_1 - \xi|$ is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\{\frac{4|M + C(2^{k_1} + 2^{k_2})|}{3|\xi|\left|1 - \frac{4}{3\xi^2\xi_1\xi_2}\right|}\right\}^{1/2} - \left\{\frac{4|M - C(2^{k_1} + 2^{k_2})|}{3|\xi|\left|1 - \frac{4}{3\xi^2\xi_1\xi_2}\right|}\right\}^{1/2}, \\
&\le C\frac{\frac{4|M + C(2^{k_1} + 2^{k_2})|}{3|\xi|\left|1 - \frac{4}{3\xi^2\xi_1\xi_2}\right|} - \frac{4|M - C(2^{k_1} + 2^{k_2})|}{3|\xi|\left|1 - \frac{4}{3\xi^2\xi_1\xi_2}\right|}}{\left\{\frac{4|M + C(2^{k_1} + 2^{k_2})|}{3|\xi|\left|1 - \frac{4}{3\xi^2\xi_1\xi_2}\right|}\right\}^{1/2} + K},
\end{aligned}$$

similar to (2.13) and (2.14), the measure of E_1 in this part is bounded by (2.15).

Case (2.2) holds: in this case, $\xi_1\xi_2 \ge 0$ and $\left|1 - \frac{4}{3\xi^2\xi_1\xi_2}\right| > \frac{1}{2}$, it can be proved similarly that the measure of E_1 in this part is also bounded by (2.15). Recall that $(\xi_1, \tau_1) \in B_{k_1}$ and $(\xi_2, \tau_2) \in B_{k_2}$,

$$\left|\tau_1 - \xi_1^3 + \frac{1}{\xi_1}\right| \le C2^{k_1}, \quad \left|\tau - \tau_1 - (\xi - \xi_1)^3 + \frac{1}{\xi - \xi_1}\right| \le C2^{k_2}, \tag{2.16}$$

thus we get (2.10). Consequently, we have (2.6).

By using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and the triangle inequality, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\int_{\substack{\xi = \xi_1 + \xi_2 \\ \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2}} f(\xi_1, \tau_1)g(\xi_2, \tau_2)h(\xi, \tau)d\xi_1 d\tau_1 d\xi d\tau\right| \\
&\le C\sum_{k_1}\sum_{k_2}\left|\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\int_{\substack{\xi = \xi_1 + \xi_2 \\ \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2}} (\chi_{B_{k_1}} f)(\xi_1, \tau_1)(\chi_{B_{k_2}} g)(\xi_2, \tau_2)h(\xi, \tau)d\xi_1 d\tau_1 d\xi d\tau\right|.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Combining (2.7), (2.8) with (2.17), we have (2.3)-(2.4).

We have completed the proof of Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 2.2. *Assume that $f, g \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R})$, $\operatorname{supp} f \subset A_{j_1}$, $\operatorname{supp} g \subset A_{j_2}$.If*

$$K_1 := \inf\{|\xi_1 - \xi_2| : \exists\, \tau_1, \tau_2,\ s.t.\ (\xi_1, \tau_1) \in \operatorname{supp} f,\ (\xi_2, \tau_2) \in \operatorname{supp} g\} \geq 2, \tag{2.18}$$

and

$$(\xi_1, \tau_1) \in \operatorname{supp} f,\ (\xi_2, \tau_2) \in \operatorname{supp} g,\ \xi_1\xi_2 \geq 0, \quad \left|1 - \frac{4}{3\xi^2\xi_1\xi_2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \tag{2.19}$$

then

$$\||\xi|^{1/4} f * g\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} \leq C\|f\|_{\hat{X}^{0,\frac{1}{2},1}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}^{0,\frac{1}{2},1}}, \tag{2.20}$$

$$\||\xi|^{1/2} f * g\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} \leq CK_1^{-1/2}\|f\|_{\hat{X}^{0,\frac{1}{2},1}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}^{0,\frac{1}{2},1}}. \tag{2.21}$$

Proof. First we prove

$$\left|\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\int_{\substack{\xi=\xi_1+\xi_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}} (\chi_{B_{k_1}} f)(\xi_1,\tau_1)\,(\chi_{B_{k_2}} g)(\xi_2,\tau_2)\,|\xi|^{1/4} h(\xi,\tau)d\xi_1 d\tau_1 d\xi d\tau\right|$$
$$\leq C2^{\frac{k_1+k_2}{2}}\|f\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}(B_{k_1})}\|g\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}(B_{k_2})}\|h\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}}. \tag{2.22}$$

and

$$\left|\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\int_{\substack{\xi=\xi_1+\xi_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}} (\chi_{B_{k_1}} f)(\xi_1,\tau_1)\,(\chi_{B_{k_2}} g)(\xi_2,\tau_2)\,|\xi|^{1/2}\, h(\xi,\tau)d\xi_1 d\tau_1 d\xi d\tau\right|$$
$$\leq CK_1^{-1/2}\, 2^{\frac{k_1+k_2}{2}}\|f\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}(B_{k_1})}\,\|g\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}(B_{k_2})}\,\|h\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}} \tag{2.23}$$

if (2.19) is valid. By using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and the Fubini theorem, we obtain

$$\left|\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\int_{\substack{\xi=\xi_1+\xi_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}} (\chi_{B_{k_1}} f)(\xi_1,\tau_1)\,(\chi_{B_{k_2}} g)(\xi_2,\tau_2)\, h(\xi,\tau)d\xi_1 d\tau_1 d\xi d\tau\right|$$
$$\leq C \sup_{(\xi,\tau)\in\mathbf{R}^2} m_2(\xi,\tau)^{1/2}\,\|f\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}(B_{k_1})}\,\|g\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}(B_{k_2})}\,\|h\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}}, \tag{2.24}$$

where

$$m_2(\xi,\tau) = \int \chi_{\Lambda_2}(\xi_1,\tau_1,\xi,\tau)d\xi_1 d\tau_1,$$
$$\Lambda_2 := \left\{(\xi_1,\tau_1,\xi,\tau) \in \mathbf{R}^4 \,:\, (\xi_1,\tau_1) \in \operatorname{supp} f,\ (\xi_2,\tau_2) \in \operatorname{supp} g\right\},$$

in which $\tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2, \xi = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ and (2.19) is valid. Thus, the proofs of (2.22) and (2.23) are reduced to

$$m_2(\tau,\xi) \leq C\min\left\{|\xi|^{-1/2}2^{k_1+k_2}, K_1^{-1}|\xi|^{-1}2^{k_1+k_2}\right\}. \tag{2.25}$$

For fixed $\tau, \xi \neq 0$, let E_3 and E_4 be the projections of Λ_2 onto the ξ_1-axis and τ_1-axis respectively. We show

$$\operatorname{mes} E_3 \leq C \min\left\{|\xi|^{-1/2}(2^{k_1/2} + 2^{k_2/2}), K_1^{-1}|\xi|^{-1}(2^{k_1} + 2^{k_2})\right\}, \tag{2.26}$$

$$\operatorname{mes} E_4 \leq C \min\left\{2^{k_1}, 2^{k_2}\right\}, \tag{2.27}$$

then (2.25) follows. Since $\xi_1\xi_2 \geq 0$ and $\left|1 - \frac{4}{3\xi^2\xi_1\xi_2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{4}{3\xi^2\xi_1\xi_2} \leq \frac{3}{2}.$$

The above inequality is equivalent to

$$\frac{8}{9\xi^2} \leq \xi_1(\xi - \xi_1) \leq \frac{8}{3\xi^2}, \tag{2.28}$$

from which we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi - \sqrt{\xi^2 - \frac{32}{9\xi^2}}\right) \leq \xi_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\xi - \sqrt{\xi^2 - \frac{32}{3\xi^2}}\right) \tag{2.29}$$

or

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi + \sqrt{\xi^2 - \frac{32}{3\xi^2}}\right) \leq \xi_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\xi + \sqrt{\xi^2 - \frac{32}{9\xi^2}}\right). \tag{2.30}$$

From (2.29) and (2.30), we see that the measure of E_1 in this part is bounded by

$$C|\xi|^{-2} \leq C|\xi|^{-1}K_1^{-1} \leq C\min\left\{\frac{(2^{k_1} + 2^{k_2})}{|\xi|K_1}, \frac{(2^{k_1/2} + 2^{k_2/2})}{|\xi|^{1/2}}\right\} \tag{2.31}$$

since $2 \leq K_1 \leq |\xi_1 - \xi_2| \leq |\xi_1 + \xi_2| = |\xi|$. Thus, we have (2.26). Since $(\xi_1, \tau_1) \in B_{k_1}$ and $(\xi_2, \tau_2) \in B_{k_2}$,

$$\left|\tau_1 - \xi_1^3 + \frac{1}{\xi_1}\right| \leq C2^{k_1}, \quad \left|\tau - \tau_1 - (\xi - \xi_1)^3 + \frac{1}{\xi - \xi_1}\right| \leq C2^{k_2}, \tag{2.32}$$

we get (2.27). Consequently, we have (2.25).

By using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and the triangle inequality, we have that

$$\left|\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\int_{\substack{\xi = \xi_1 + \xi_2 \\ \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2}} f(\xi_1, \tau_1)g(\xi_2, \tau_2)h(\xi, \tau)d\xi_1 d\tau_1 d\xi d\tau\right|$$

$$\leq C\sum_{k_1}\sum_{k_2}\left|\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\int_{\substack{\xi = \xi_1 + \xi_2 \\ \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2}} (\chi_{B_{k_1}}f)(\xi_1, \tau_1)(\chi_{B_{k_2}}g)(\xi_2, \tau_2)h(\xi, \tau)d\xi_1 d\tau_1 d\xi d\tau\right|. \tag{2.33}$$

Combining (2.22), (2.23) with (2.33), we have (2.20)-(2.21).

We have completed the proof of Lemma 2.2.

Remark 1: From the proof process of (2.21), to obtain (2.21), it is sufficient to require that $K_1 > 0$.

Lemma 2.3. *Assume that* $f, g \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^2)$, $\operatorname{supp} f \subset A_{j_1}$, $\operatorname{supp} g \subset A_{j_2}$.

$$K_2 := \inf\{|\xi_1 - \xi_2| : \exists\, \tau_1, \tau_2,\ s.t.\ (\xi_1, \tau_1) \in \operatorname{supp} f,\ (\xi_2, \tau_2) \in \operatorname{supp} g\} > 0,$$

then

$$\||\xi|^{1/2} f * g\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)} \le C K_2^{-1/2} \|f\|_{\hat{X}^{0,\frac{1}{2},1}} \|g\|_{\hat{X}^{0,\frac{1}{2},1}}.$$

Proof. Combining Lemma 2.1 with Lemma 2.2 and Remark 1, we have that Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 2.4. *Assume that* $f \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^2)$, $g \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^2)$ *with* $\operatorname{supp} f \subset A_j$ *for some* $j \ge 0$ *and* $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ *has positive measure. Let*

$$K_3 := \inf\{|\xi_1 + \xi| : \exists\, \tau, \tau_1\ s.t.\ (\xi, \tau) \in \Omega, (\xi_1, \tau_1) \in \operatorname{supp} f\} > 0.$$

If

$$(\xi, \tau) \in \Omega, (\xi_1, \tau_1) \in \operatorname{supp} f,\ \xi\xi_1 > 0 \tag{2.34}$$

or

$$(\xi, \tau) \in \Omega,\ (\xi_1, \tau_1) \in \operatorname{supp} f,\ \xi\xi_1 \ge 0, \quad \left|1 + \frac{4}{3\xi\xi_1\xi_2^2}\right| > \frac{1}{2}. \tag{2.35}$$

Then, for any $k \ge 0$, *we have*

$$\|f * g\|_{L^2(B_k)} \le C 2^{k/4} \|f\|_{\hat{X}^{0,\frac{1}{2},1}} \||\xi|^{-1/4} g\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}, \tag{2.36}$$

$$\|f * g\|_{L^2(\Omega \cap B_k)} \le C 2^{k/2} K_3^{-1/2} \|f\|_{\hat{X}_{0,\frac{1}{2},1}} \| \, |\xi|^{-1/2} g\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}\,. \tag{2.37}$$

Proof. First we prove

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \int_{\substack{\xi = \xi_1 + \xi_2 \\ \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2}} (\chi_{B_{k_1}} f(\xi_1, \tau_1)) g(\xi_2, \tau_2) h(\xi, \tau) d\xi_1 d\tau_1 d\xi d\tau \right| \\ &\le C 2^{k_1/2} 2^{k/4} \|f\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}(B_{k_1})} \| \, |\xi|^{-1/4} g\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}} \|h\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}} \end{aligned} \tag{2.38}$$

for any $h \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$ with $\operatorname{supp} h \subset B_k$ and

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\int_{\substack{\xi=\xi_1+\xi_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}} (\chi_{B_{k_1}} f(\xi_1,\tau_1))g(\xi_2,\tau_2)h(\xi,\tau)d\xi_1 d\tau_1 d\xi d\tau\right| \\ &\le CK_3^{-1/2}2^{\frac{k+k_1}{2}}\|f\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}(B_{k_1})}\,\|\,|\xi|^{-1/2}g\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}}\|h\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}} \end{aligned} \tag{2.39}$$

for any $h \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$ with $\operatorname{supp} h \subset B_k \cap \Omega$.

By using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and the Fubini theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\int_{\substack{\xi=\xi_1+\xi_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}} (\chi_{B_{k_1}} f(\xi_1,\tau_1))g(\xi_2,\tau_2)h(\xi,\tau)d\xi_1 d\tau_1 d\xi d\tau\right| \\ &\le C\sup_{(\xi_2,\tau_2)\in\mathbf{R}^2} m_3(\xi_2,\tau_2)^{1/2}\|f\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}}\|g\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}}\|h\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.40}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} m_3(\xi_2,\tau_2) &= \int \chi_{\Lambda_2}(\xi_2,\tau_2,\xi,\tau)d\xi d\tau, \\ \Lambda_3 &:= \left\{(\xi_2,\tau_2,\xi,\tau)\in\mathbf{R}^4 \,:\, (\xi_1,\tau_1)\in\operatorname{supp} f, \quad (\xi,\tau)\in\operatorname{supp} h\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

in which $\tau = \tau_1+\tau_2, \xi = \xi_1+\xi_2$. Hence, the proofs of (2.38) and (2.39) are reduced to

$$m_3(\xi_2,\tau_2) \le C\min\left\{|\xi_2|^{-1/2}2^{k/2+k_1}, K_3^{-1}|\xi_2|^{-1}2^{k+k_1}\right\}. \tag{2.41}$$

For fixed $\tau,\xi \neq 0$, $(\xi,\tau)\in B_k$, we let F_1 and F_2 be the projections of Λ_3 onto the the ξ-axis and τ-axis respectively. We shall show

$$\operatorname{mes} F_1 \le C\min\left\{|\xi_2|^{-1/2}(2^{k/2}+2^{k_1}), K_3^{-1}|\xi_2|^{-1}(2^k+2^{k_1})\right\}, \tag{2.42}$$

$$\operatorname{mes} F_2 \le C\min\left\{2^k, 2^{k_1}\right\}, \tag{2.43}$$

then (2.41) follows.

Similar to (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\tau_2 - \frac{\xi_2^3}{4} + \frac{4}{\xi_2} - \left(\tau - \xi^3 + \frac{1}{\xi}\right) + \left(\tau_1 - \xi_1^3 + \frac{1}{\xi_1}\right) \\ &= \frac{3}{4}\xi_2(2\xi-\xi_2)^2\left[1+\frac{4}{3\xi\xi_1\xi_2^2}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.44}$$

From (2.44), we get

$$\max\left\{\frac{4|M_1 - C(2^k+2^{k_1})|}{3|\xi_2|\left|1+\frac{4}{3\xi\xi_1\xi_2^2}\right|},\ K_3^2\right\} \le |2\xi-\xi_1|^2 \le \frac{4|M_1+C(2^k+2^{k_1})|}{3|\xi_2|\left|1+\frac{4}{3\xi\xi_1\xi_2^2}\right|}, \tag{2.45}$$

where $M_1 = \tau_2 - \frac{\xi_2^3}{4} + \frac{4}{\xi_2}$.

When (2.34) holds: in this case $\xi\xi_1 > 0$, thus, we have that

$$\left|1 + \frac{4}{3\xi\xi_1\xi_2^2}\right| > \frac{1}{2}.$$

We consider

$$K_3 \geq \left\{\frac{4|M_1 - C(2^k + 2^{k_1})|}{3|\xi_2|\left|1 + \frac{4}{3\xi\xi_1\xi_2^2}\right|}\right\}^{1/2},$$
$$K_3 \leq \left\{\frac{4|M_1 - C(2^k + 2^{k_1})|}{3|\xi_2|\left|1 + \frac{4}{3\xi\xi_1\xi_2^2}\right|}\right\}^{1/2}.$$

When $K_3 \geq \left\{\frac{4|M_1 - C(2^k + 2^{k_1})|}{3|\xi_2|\left|1 + \frac{4}{3\xi\xi_1\xi_2^2}\right|}\right\}^{1/2}$, from (2.45), we have that the length of the interval that $|2\xi - \xi_1|$ lies in is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\{\frac{4|M_1 + C(2^k + 2^{k_1})|}{3|\xi_2|\left|1 + \frac{4}{3\xi\xi_1\xi_2^2}\right|}\right\}^{1/2} - K_3 \\
&= \frac{\frac{4|M_1 + C(2^k + 2^{k_1})|}{3|\xi_2|\left|1 + \frac{4}{3\xi\xi_1\xi_2^2}\right|} - K_3^2}{\left\{\frac{4|M_1 + C(2^k + 2^{k_1})|}{3|\xi_2|\left|1 + \frac{4}{3\xi\xi_1\xi_2^2}\right|}\right\}^{1/2} + K_3} \\
&\leq \frac{\frac{4|M_1 + C(2^k + 2^{k_1})|}{3|\xi_2|\left|1 + \frac{4}{3\xi\xi_1\xi_2^2}\right|} - \frac{4|M_1 - C(2^k + 2^{k_1})|}{3|\xi_2|\left|1 + \frac{4}{3\xi\xi_1\xi_2^2}\right|}}{\left\{\frac{4|M_1 + C(2^k + 2^{k_1})|}{3|\xi_2|\left|1 + \frac{4}{3\xi\xi_1\xi_2^2}\right|}\right\}^{1/2} + K_3} \\
&\leq \frac{C(2^k + 2^{k_1})}{|\xi_2|K_3\left|1 + \frac{4}{3\xi\xi_1\xi_2^2}\right|} \leq \frac{C(2^k + 2^{k_1})}{|\xi_2|K_3}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.46}$$

Moreover, from the first *inequality* of the above, such length of the interval of $|2\xi - \xi_1|$ is also bounded by

$$\frac{C(2^{k/2} + 2^{k_1/2})}{|\xi_2|^{1/2}\left|1 + \frac{4}{3\xi\xi_1\xi_2^2}\right|^{1/2}} \leq \frac{C(2^{k/2} + 2^{k_1/2})}{|\xi_2|^{1/2}}. \tag{2.47}$$

From (2.46) and (2.47), we infer that the measure of F_1 in this part is bounded by

$$C\min\left\{|\xi_2|^{-1/2}(2^k + 2^{k_1/2}), K_3^{-1}|\xi_2|^{-1}(2^k + 2^{k_1})\right\}. \tag{2.48}$$

When $K_3 \le \left\{ \dfrac{4|M_1 - C(2^k + 2^{k_1})|}{3|\xi_2|\left|1 + \frac{4}{3\xi\xi_1\xi_2^2}\right|} \right\}^{1/2}$, the length of the interval of $|2\xi - \xi_2|$ is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\{ \frac{4|M_1 + C(2^k + 2^{k_1})|}{3|\xi_2|\left|1 + \frac{4}{3\xi\xi_1\xi_2^2}\right|} \right\}^{1/2} - \left\{ \frac{4|M_1 - C(2^k + 2^{k_1})|}{3|\xi_2|\left|1 + \frac{4}{3\xi\xi_1\xi_2^2}\right|} \right\}^{1/2} \\
&\le C \frac{\dfrac{4|M_1 + C(2^k + 2^{k_1})|}{3|\xi_2|\left|1 + \frac{4}{3\xi\xi_1\xi_2^2}\right|} - \dfrac{4|M_1 - C(2^k + 2^{k_1})|}{3|\xi_2|\left|1 + \frac{4}{3\xi\xi_1\xi_2^2}\right|}}{\left\{ \dfrac{4|M_1 + C(2^k + 2^{k_1})|}{3|\xi_2|\left|1 + \frac{4}{3\xi\xi_1\xi_2^2}\right|} \right\}^{1/2} + K_3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similar to (2.46) and (2.47), the measure of F_1 in this part is also bounded by (2.48). When (2.35) holds: $\xi\xi_1 \le 0$ and $\left|1 + \frac{4}{3\xi\xi_1\xi_2^2}\right| > \frac{1}{2}$, it can be proved similarly that the measure of F_1 in this part is also bounded by (2.48).

Recall that $(\xi, \tau) \in B_k$, $(\xi_1, \tau_1) \in B_{k_1}$,

$$\left|\tau - \xi^3 + \frac{1}{\xi}\right| \le C2^k, \quad \left|\tau_1 - \xi_1^3 + \frac{1}{\xi_1}\right| \le C2^{k_1}, \tag{2.49}$$

we get the estimate (2.43) for F_2. Consequently, we have (2.41).

By using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and the triangle inequality, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \int_{\substack{\xi = \xi_1 + \xi_2 \\ \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2}} f(\xi_1, \tau_1) g(\xi_2, \tau_2) h(\xi, \tau) d\xi_1 d\tau_1 d\xi d\tau \right| \\
&\le C \sum_{k_1} \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \int_{\substack{\xi = \xi_1 + \xi_2 \\ \tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2}} (\chi_{B_{k_1}} f(\xi_1, \tau_1)) g(\xi_2, \tau_2) h(\xi, \tau) d\xi_1 d\tau_1 d\xi d\tau \right|.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.50}$$

Combining (2.38), (2.39) with (2.50), we have (2.36) and (2.37).

We have completed the proof of Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 2.5. *Assume that $f \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^2)$, $g \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^2)$ with $\operatorname{supp} f \subset A_j$ for some $j \ge 0$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ has positive measure. Let*

$$K_4 := \inf \{ |\xi_1 + \xi| : \exists\, \tau, \tau_1 \; s.t. \; (\xi, \tau) \in \Omega, (\xi_1, \tau_1) \in \operatorname{supp} f \} \ge 2.$$

If

$$(\xi, \tau) \in \Omega,\ (\xi_1, \tau_1) \in \operatorname{supp} f,\ \xi\xi_1 \le 0, \quad \left|1 + \frac{4}{3\xi\xi_1\xi_2^2}\right| \le \frac{1}{2}, \tag{2.51}$$

then, for any $k \geq 0$, we have

$$\|f * g\|_{L^2(B_k)} \leq C2^{k/4}\|f\|_{\hat{X}^{0,\frac{1}{2},1}}\||\xi|^{-1/4}g\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}, \tag{2.52}$$

$$\|f * g\|_{L^2(\Omega\cap B_k)} \leq C2^{k/2}K_4^{-1/2}\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{0,\frac{1}{2},1}}\,\|\,|\xi|^{-1/2}g\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}\,. \tag{2.53}$$

Proof. First we prove

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\int_{\substack{\xi=\xi_1+\xi_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}}(\chi_{B_{k_1}}f(\xi_1,\tau_1))g(\xi_2,\tau_2)h(\xi,\tau)d\xi_1d\tau_1d\xi d\tau\right|\\ &\leq C2^{k_1/2}2^{k/4}\|f\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}(B_{k_2})}\,\|\,|\xi|^{-1/4}g\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}}\|h\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}}\end{aligned} \tag{2.54}$$

for any $h \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$ with $\operatorname{supp} h \subset B_k$ and

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\int_{\substack{\xi=\xi_1+\xi_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}}(\chi_{B_{k_1}}f(\xi_1,\tau_1))g(\xi_2,\tau_2)h(\xi,\tau)d\xi_1d\tau_1d\xi d\tau\right|\\ &\leq CK_4^{-1/2}2^{k/2+k_1/2}\|f\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}(B_{k_2})}\,\|\,|\xi|^{-1/2}g\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}}\|h\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}}\end{aligned} \tag{2.55}$$

for any $h \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)$ with $\operatorname{supp} h \subset B_k \cap \Omega$.

By using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and the Fubini theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\int_{\substack{\xi=\xi_1+\xi_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}}(\chi_{B_{k_1}})f(\xi_1,\tau_1)g(\xi_2,\tau_2)h(\xi,\tau)d\xi_1d\tau_1d\xi d\tau\right|\\ &\leq C\sup_{(\xi_2,\tau_2)\in\mathbf{R}^2}m_4(\xi_2,\tau_2)^{1/2}\|f\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}}\|g\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}}\|h\|_{L^2_{\xi\tau}},\end{aligned} \tag{2.56}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}m_4(\xi_2,\tau_2) &= \int\chi_{\Lambda_4}(\xi_2,\tau_2,\xi,\tau)d\xi d\tau,\\ \Lambda_4 &:= \left\{(\xi_2,\tau_2,\xi,\tau)\in\mathbf{R}^4\,:\,(\xi_1,\tau_1)\in\operatorname{supp} f,(\xi,\tau)\in\operatorname{supp} g\right\},\end{aligned}$$

in which $\tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2, \xi = \xi_1 + \xi_2$. Hence, the proofs of (2.54) and (2.55) are reduced to

$$m_4(\tau,\xi) \leq C\min\left\{|\xi_2|^{-1/2}2^{k/2+k_1/2}, K_4^{-1}|\xi_2|^{-1}2^{k+k_1}\right\}. \tag{2.57}$$

For fixed $\tau, \xi \neq 0$, $(\xi,\tau) \in B_k$, we let F_3 and F_4 be the projections of Λ_4 onto the the ξ_1-axis and τ_1-axis respectively. We shall show

$$\operatorname{mes} F_3 \leq C\min\left\{|\xi_2|^{-1/2}(2^{k/2}+2^{k_1/2}), K_4^{-1}|\xi_2|^{-1}(2^k+2^{k_1})\right\}, \tag{2.58}$$

$$\operatorname{mes} F_4 \leq C\min\left\{2^k, 2^{k_1}\right\}, \tag{2.59}$$

then (2.57) follows. When $\xi\xi_1 \le 0$ and $\left|1+\frac{4}{3\xi\xi_1\xi_2^2}\right| \ge \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\frac{8}{9\xi_2^2} \le \xi(\xi-\xi_2) \le \frac{8}{3\xi_2^2}. \tag{2.60}$$

From (2.60), we have that

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_2+\sqrt{\xi_2^2+\frac{32}{9\xi_2^2}}\right) \le \xi \le \frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_2+\sqrt{\xi_2^2+\frac{32}{3\xi_2^2}}\right) \tag{2.61}$$

or

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_2-\sqrt{\xi_2^2+\frac{32}{3\xi_2^2}}\right) \le \xi \le \frac{1}{2}\left(\xi_2-\sqrt{\xi_2^2+\frac{32}{9\xi_2^2}}\right). \tag{2.62}$$

From (2.61) and (2.62), we see that the measure of F_3 is bounded by

$$C|\xi_2|^{-2} \le C\min\left\{|\xi_2|^{-1/2}2^{k/2+k_1/2}, K_4^{-1}|\xi_2|^{-1}2^{k+k_1}\right\}.$$

Recall that $(\xi,\tau)\in B_k$, $(\xi_1,\tau_1)\in B_{k_1}$,

$$\left|\tau-\xi^3+\frac{1}{\xi}\right| \le C2^k, \quad \left|\tau_1-\xi_1^3+\frac{1}{\xi_1}\right| \le C2^{k_1}, \tag{2.63}$$

we get the estimate (2.59) for F_4. Consequently, we have (2.57).

By using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and the triangle inequality, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\int_{\substack{\xi=\xi_1+\xi_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}} f(\xi_1,\tau_1)g(\xi_2,\tau_2)h(\xi,\tau)d\xi_1 d\tau_1 d\xi d\tau\right| \\ &\le C\sum_{k_1}\left|\int_{\mathbf{R}^2}\int_{\substack{\xi=\xi_1+\xi_2\\ \tau=\tau_1+\tau_2}} (\chi_{B_{k_1}}f(\xi_1,\tau_1))g(\xi_2,\tau_2)h(\xi,\tau)d\xi_1 d\tau_1 d\xi d\tau\right|. \end{aligned}\tag{2.64}$$

Combining (2.54), (2.55) with (2.64), we have (2.52) and (2.53).

We have completed the proof of Lemma 2.5.

Remark 2: From the proof process of (2.53), to obtain (2.53), it is sufficient to require that $K_4 > 0$.

Lemma 2.6. *Assume that $f \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^2)$, $g \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbf{R}^2)$ with $\operatorname{supp} f \subset A_j$ for some $j \ge 0$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^2$ has positive measure. If*

$$K_5 := \inf\{|\xi_1+\xi| : \exists\,\tau,\tau_1 \ s.t.\ (\xi,\tau)\in\Omega, (\xi_1,\tau_1)\in \operatorname{supp} f\} > 0.$$

Then

$$\|f*g\|_{L^2(\Omega\cap B_k)} \le C2^{k/2}K_5^{-1/2}\|f\|_{\hat{X}_{0,\frac{1}{2},1}}\,\||\xi|^{-1/2}g\|_{L^2(\mathbf{R}^2)}$$

Proof. Combining Lemma 2.4 with Lemma 2.5, Remark 2, we have that Lemma 2.6.

Lemma 2.7. *Let* $j, N \in \mathbf{N}$, $\gamma_0 = \frac{1}{2}j \geq 2^{N+2}, \gamma_{n+1} = 2\log_2 \gamma_n$, $6 \leq \gamma_N < 8$. *Then*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_n}} \tag{2.65}$$

is bounded uniformly in j *and* N.

Proof. We claim that

$$\gamma_n \geq 2^{N+2-n}, \quad 0 \leq n \leq N-1. \tag{2.66}$$

Let $a_n = \gamma_{N-n}$, then (2.66) is equivalent to

$$a_n = \gamma_{N-n} \geq 2^{n+2}. \tag{2.67}$$

We prove (2.67) by induction.

When $n = 1$, $a_1 = \gamma_{N-1} = 2^{\frac{1}{2}\gamma_N} \geq 2^3 = 8$. We assume that for $n = k$, $a_k = \gamma_{N-k} \geq 2^{k+2}$. Then for $n = k+1$, we have that $a_{k+1} = \gamma_{N-k-1} = 2^{\frac{1}{2}\gamma_{N-k}} \geq 2^{2^{k+1}} \geq 2^{k+3}$. Thus we have (2.67). Consequently, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma_n}} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{2^{(N+2-n)/2}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}+1}{2}(1 - 2^{-N/2}) \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}+1}{2}. \tag{2.68}$$

We have completed the proof of Lemma 2.7.

Remark: The conclusion of Lemma 2.7 can be found in page 460 of [20], however, the proof is not given.

Lemma 2.8. *Let* $\tau = \tau_1 + \tau_2, \xi = \xi_1 + \xi_2$. *Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\max\left\{3|\xi\xi_1\xi_2|, \frac{\xi_1^2 + \xi_1\xi_2 + \xi_2^2}{|\xi\xi_1\xi_2|}\right\} \\ \leq &\left|\left(\tau - \xi^3 + \frac{1}{\xi}\right) - \left(\tau_1 - \xi_1^3 + \frac{1}{\xi_1}\right) - \left(\tau_2 - \xi_2^3 + \frac{1}{\xi_2}\right)\right| \\ \leq &\, 2\max\left\{3|\xi\xi_1\xi_2|, \frac{\xi_1^2 + \xi_1\xi_2 + \xi_2^2}{|\xi\xi_1\xi_2|}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By a direct computation, since $3\xi\xi_1\xi_2 \times \frac{\xi_1^2+\xi_1\xi_2+\xi_2^2}{\xi\xi_1\xi_2} > 0$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max\left\{3|\xi\xi_1\xi_2|, \frac{\xi_1^2+\xi_1\xi_2+\xi_2^2}{|\xi\xi_1\xi_2|}\right\}\\
&\le \left|\left(\tau-\xi^3+\frac{1}{\xi}\right)-\left(\tau_1-\xi_1^3+\frac{1}{\xi_1}\right)-\left(\tau_2-\xi_2^3+\frac{1}{\xi_2}\right)\right|\\
&= \left|3\xi\xi_1\xi_2+\frac{\xi_1^2+\xi_1\xi_2+\xi_2^2}{\xi\xi_1\xi_2}\right|\\
&\le 2\max\left\{3|\xi\xi_1\xi_2|, \frac{\xi_1^2+\xi_1\xi_2+\xi_2^2}{|\xi\xi_1\xi_2|}\right\}. \qquad (2.69)
\end{aligned}$$

We have completed the proof of Lemma 2.8.

Lemma 2.9. *The space $\hat{X}$ has the following properties. (i) For any $b > 1/2$, there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\||f\|_{\hat{X}} \;\le\; C\|f\|_{\hat{X}^{-3/4,\,b}}. \qquad (2.70)$$

(ii) For $1 < p \le 2$, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\langle\xi\rangle^{-3/4} f\|_{L^2_\xi L^p_\tau} \le C\|f\|_{\hat{X}}, \qquad (2.71)$$

$$\|\langle\xi\rangle^{-3/4} f\|_{L^2_\xi L^1_\tau} \le C\|f\|_{\hat{X}^{-3/4,\frac{1}{2},1}}. \qquad (2.72)$$

Proof. (i) can be proved similarly to (i) of [20]. (2.71) can be proved similarly to $1 < p \le 2$ of (ii) in [20]. (2.72) can be proved similarly to [1].

We have completed the proof of Lemma 2.9.

Lemma 2.10. *Let $e^{-t(-\partial_x^3+\partial_x^{-1})}u_0$ be the solution to the linear equation (1.1). Then we have the following estimates*

$$\left\|e^{-t(-\partial_x^3+\partial_x^{-1})}u_0\right\|_{X_T} + \sup_{-T\le t\le T}\|e^{-t(-\partial_x^3+\partial_x^{-1})}u_0\|_{H_x^{-3/4}(\mathbf{R})} \le C\|u_0\|_{H_x^{-3/4}(\mathbf{R})} \qquad (2.73)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\int_0^t e^{-(t-s)(-\partial_x^3+\partial_x^{-1})}F(s)ds\right\|_{X_T} + \sup_{-T\le t\le T}\left\|\int_0^t e^{-(t-s)(-\partial_x^3+\partial_x^{-1})}F(s)ds\right\|_{H_x^{-3/4}(\mathbf{R})}\\
&\le C\left\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}\Big(\Big\langle\tau-\xi^3+\frac{1}{\xi}\Big\rangle^{-1}\mathscr{F}F\Big)\right\|_X + \left\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}\Big(\Big\langle\tau-\xi^3+\frac{1}{\xi}\Big\rangle^{-1}\mathscr{F}F\Big)\right\|_Y, \qquad (2.74)
\end{aligned}$$

where $0 \le T \le 1$.

Lemma 2.10 can be proved similarly to Lemma 4.1 of [20].

3. Bilinear estimates

In this section, we give the proof of Lemmas 3.1-3.2 which is the core of this paper.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose $f, g \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbf{R}^2)$, $\operatorname{supp} f \subset A_{j_1}$ and $\operatorname{supp} g \subset A_{j_2}$. Then we have*

$$\left\| I_{A_j} \left\langle \tau - \xi^3 + \frac{1}{\xi} \right\rangle^{-1} \xi f * g \right\|_{\hat{X}} \leq C(j, j_1, j_2) \|f\|_{\hat{X}} \|g\|_{\hat{X}}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\left\| I_{A_j} \langle \xi \rangle^{-3/4} \left\langle \tau - \xi^3 + \frac{1}{\xi} \right\rangle^{-1} \xi f * g \right\|_{L^2_\xi L^1_\tau} \leq C(j, j_1, j_2) \|f\|_{\hat{X}} \|g\|_{\hat{X}} \tag{3.2}$$

for $j \geq 0$ in the following cases.

(i) At least two of j, j_1, j_2 are less than 30 and $C(j, j_1, j_2) \sim 1$.

(ii) $j_1, j_2 \geq 30$, $|j_1 - j_2| \leq 10$, $0 < j < j_1 - 9$ and $C(j, j_1, j_2) \sim 2^{-\frac{3}{8}j}$.

(iii) $j, j_1 \geq 30$, $|j - j_1| \leq 10$, $0 < j_2 < j - 10$ and $C(j, j_1, j_2) \sim 2^{-\frac{1}{4}(j - j_2)}$.

(iv) $j, j_2 \geq 30$, $|j - j_2| \leq 10$, $0 < j_1 < j - 10$ and $C(j, j_1, j_2) \sim 2^{-\frac{1}{4}(j - j_1)}$.

(v) $j, j_1, j_2 \geq 30$, $|j - j_1| \leq 10$, $|j - j_2| \leq 10$ and $C(j, j_1, j_2) \sim 1$.

(vi) $j_1, j_2 \geq 30$, $j = 0$ and $C(j, j_1, j_2) \sim 1$.

(vii) $j, j_1 \geq 30$, $j_2 = 0$ and $C(j, j_1, j_2) \sim 1$.

(viii) $j, j_2 \geq 30$, $j_1 = 0$, and $C(j, j_1, j_2) \sim 1$.

Proof. (i) In this case we may assume that j, j_1, j_2 are all less than 40. By using the Young inequality and (2.71)-(2.72), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| I_{A_j} \left\langle \tau - \xi^3 + \frac{1}{\xi} \right\rangle^{-1} \xi f * g \right\|_{\hat{X}} \leq C \left\| I_{A_j} \left\langle \tau - \xi^3 + \frac{1}{\xi} \right\rangle^{-1} \xi f * g \right\|_{\hat{X}^{-3/4,1/2,1}} \\ &\leq C \|f * g\|_{L^2} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2_\xi L^{4/3}_\tau} \|g\|_{L^2_\xi L^{4/3}_\tau} \leq C \|f\|_{\hat{X}} \|g\|_{\hat{X}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| I_{A_j} \left\langle \tau - \xi^3 + \frac{1}{\xi} \right\rangle^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{-3/4} \xi f * g \right\|_{L^2_\xi L^1_\tau} \leq C \|f * g\|_{L^2_\xi L^2_\tau} \\ &\leq C \|f\|_{L^2_\xi L^{4/3}_\tau} \|g\|_{L^2_\xi L^{4/3}_\tau} \leq C \|f\|_{\hat{X}} \|g\|_{\hat{X}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

(ii) In this case, we restrict f to B_{k_1} and g to B_{k_2}, by using Lemma 2.8, we have that

$$2^{k_{max}} := 2^{\max\{k, k_1, k_2\}} \geq C 2^{j + 2j_1}. \tag{3.5}$$

When $2^k \geq C2^{j+2j_1}$ which yields that $2^{j/4}2^{-k/2} \leq C2^{-3j/4}2^{-j_1}2^{j/2}$, by using Lemma 2.3, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| I_{A_j} \left\langle \tau - \xi^3 + \frac{1}{\xi} \right\rangle^{-1} \xi f * g \right\|_{\hat{X}} \leq C2^{j/4} \sum_{k\geq 0} 2^{-k/2} \|f * g\|_{L^2(A_j \cap B_k)} \\
&\leq C2^{-\frac{3}{4}j}2^{-j_1} \sum_{k=j+2j_1+O(1)} \left\| |\xi|^{1/2} f * g \right\|_{L^2} \leq C2^{-\frac{3}{4}j}2^{-\frac{3}{2}j_1} \|f\|_{\hat{X}^{0,1/2,1}} \|g\|_{\hat{X}^{0,1/2,1}} \\
&\leq C2^{-\frac{3}{4}j} \|f\|_{\hat{X}^{-\frac{3}{4},1/2,1}} \|g\|_{\hat{X}^{-\frac{3}{4},1/2,1}} \\
&\leq C2^{-\frac{3}{8}j} \|f\|_{\hat{X}} \|g\|_{\hat{X}}. \qquad (3.6)
\end{aligned}$$

When $2^{k_1} \geq C2^{j+2j_1}$ which yields that $2^{-\frac{3}{8}(j+2j_1)}2^{3k_1/8}2^{\frac{1}{8}(k_1-k)} \geq C$, by using Lemma 2.6, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| I_{A_j} \left\langle \tau - \xi^3 + \frac{1}{\xi} \right\rangle^{-1} \xi f * g \right\|_{\hat{X}} \\
&\leq C2^{-j/8}2^{-3j_1/4} \sum_{k\geq 0} 2^{-5k/8} \left\| \left(\left\langle \tau - \xi^3 + \frac{1}{\xi} \right\rangle^{1/2} f \right) * g \right\|_{L^2(A_j \cap B_k)} \\
&\leq C2^{-\frac{1}{8}j}2^{-\frac{7}{4}j_1} \|f\|_{\hat{X}^{0,1/2,1}} \|g\|_{\hat{X}^{0,1/2,1}} \\
&\leq C2^{-\frac{3}{8}j} \|f\|_{\hat{X}^{-\frac{3}{4},1/2,1}} \|g\|_{\hat{X}^{-\frac{3}{4},1/2,1}} \\
&\leq C2^{-\frac{3}{8}j} \|f\|_{\hat{X}} \|g\|_{\hat{X}}. \qquad (3.7)
\end{aligned}$$

When $2^{k_2} \geq C2^{j+2j_1}$, this case can be treated similarly to case $2^{k_1} \geq C2^{j+2j_1}$.

In this case, by using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality with respect to τ and a proof similar to the above cases, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| I_{A_j} \left\langle \tau - \xi^3 + \frac{1}{\xi} \right\rangle^{-1} \xi \langle \xi \rangle^{-3/4} f * g \right\|_{L^2_\xi L^1_\tau} \\
&\leq C2^{j/4} \sum_{k\geq 0} 2^{-k/2} \|f * g\|_{L^2_\xi L^2_\tau} \\
&\leq C \left[2^{-\frac{3}{4}j}2^{-\frac{3}{2}j_1} + 2^{-\frac{1}{8}j}2^{-\frac{7}{4}j_1} \right] \|f\|_{\hat{X}^{0,1/2,1}} \|g\|_{\hat{X}^{0,1/2,1}} \\
&\leq C2^{-\frac{3}{8}j} \|f\|_{\hat{X}^{-\frac{3}{4},1/2,1}} \|g\|_{\hat{X}^{-\frac{3}{4},1/2,1}} \\
&\leq C2^{-\frac{3}{8}j} \|f\|_{\hat{X}} \|g\|_{\hat{X}}. \qquad (3.8)
\end{aligned}$$

(iii) In this case, from Lemma 2.8, we have that $2^{k_{\max}} \geq C|\xi\xi_1\xi_2| \geq C2^{2j+j_2}$

In this case, the left hand side of (3.1)-(3.2) can be bounded by

$$C2^{j/4} \sum_{k\geq 0} 2^{-k/2} \|f * g\|_{L^2_\xi L^2_\tau}. \qquad (3.9)$$

When $2^k \sim 2^{k_{\max}} \geq C2^{2j+j_2}$, with the aid of Lemma 2.3, (3.9) can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&C2^{-\frac{7}{4}j}2^{-j_2/2}\|f\|_{\hat{X}^{0,1/2,1}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}^{0,1/2,1}} \\
&\leq C2^{-j+\frac{j_2}{4}}\|f\|_{\hat{X}^{-\frac{3}{4},1/2,1}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}^{-\frac{3}{4},1/2,1}} \\
&\leq C2^{-j+\frac{j_2}{4}}\|f\|_{\hat{X}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}}. \qquad (3.10)
\end{aligned}$$

When $2^{k_1} \sim 2^{k_{\max}} \geq C2^{2j+j_2}$, with the aid of (2.36) and the fact that $2^{k_1/2}2^{-\frac{1}{2}(2j+j_2)} \geq C$, we have that (3.9) can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&C2^{-3j/4}2^{-j_2/2}2^{k_1/2}\sum_{k\geq 0}2^{-k/2}\|f*g\|_{L^2_\xi L^2_\tau} \\
&\leq C2^{-3j/4}2^{-j_2/2}\sum_{k\geq 0}2^{-k/4}2^{k_1/2}\||\xi|^{-1/4}f\|_{L^2}\|g\|_{\hat{X}^{0,1/2,1}} \\
&\leq C2^{-\frac{1}{4}(j-j_2)}\|f\|_{\hat{X}^{-\frac{3}{4},1/2,1}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}^{-\frac{3}{4},1/2,1}} \\
&\leq C2^{-\frac{1}{4}(j-j_2)}\|f\|_{\hat{X}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}}. \qquad (3.11)
\end{aligned}$$

When $2^{k_2} \sim 2^{k_{\max}} \geq C2^{2j+j_2}$, by using the fact that $2^{k_2/2}2^{-\frac{1}{2}(2j+j_2)} \geq C$, in this case $2^{j_2} \ll 2^j$, we have that (3.9) can be bounded by

$$C2^{-3j/4}2^{-j_2/2}2^{k_2/2}\sum_{k\geq 0}2^{-k/2}\|f*g\|_{L^2_\xi L^2_\tau}, \qquad (3.12)$$

if $k \leq 10j$, by using (2.37) and $2^{j/4} \geq j (j \geq 20)$, we have that (3.12) can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&C2^{-5j/4}2^{-j_2}\sum_{k\geq 0}2^{-k/4}\|f\|_{\hat{X}^{0,1/2,1}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}^{0,1/2,1}} \\
&\leq Cj2^{-\frac{1}{2}j-\frac{j_2}{4}}\|f\|_{\hat{X}^{-\frac{3}{4},1/2,1}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}^{-\frac{3}{4},1/2,1}} \\
&\leq C2^{-\frac{1}{4}(j-j_2)}\|f\|_{\hat{X}^{-\frac{3}{4},1/2,1}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}^{-\frac{3}{4},1/2,1}} \\
&\leq C2^{-\frac{1}{4}(j-j_2)}\|f\|_{\hat{X}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}}, \qquad (3.13)
\end{aligned}$$

if $k \geq 10j$, we have that (3.12) can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&C2^{-5j/4}2^{-j_2}\sum_{k\geq 0}2^{-k/4}\|f\|_{\hat{X}^{0,1/2,1}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}^{0,1/2,1}} \\
&\leq C2^{-15j/4}2^{-j_2}\|f\|_{\hat{X}^{0,1/2,1}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}^{0,1/2,1}} \\
&\leq C2^{-3j-j_2/4}\|f\|_{\hat{X}^{-\frac{3}{4},1/2,1}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}^{-\frac{3}{4},1/2,1}} \\
&\leq C2^{-3j-j_2/4}\|f\|_{\hat{X}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}}. \qquad (3.14)
\end{aligned}$$

(iv) This case can be proved similarly to case (iii).

(v) In this case, from Lemma 2.8, we have that $2^{k_{\max}} \geq C|\xi\xi_1\xi_2| \geq C2^{3j} \sim 2^{3j_1} \sim 2^{3j_2}$. In this case, the left hand side of (3.1)-(3.2) can be bounded by

$$C2^{j/4} \sum_{k\geq 0} 2^{-k/2} \|f * g\|_{L^2_\xi L^2_\tau}. \tag{3.15}$$

When $2^k \sim 2^{k_{\max}} \geq C2^{2j+j_2}$, with the aid of (2.3), (3.15) can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} &C2^{-3j/2}\|f\|_{\hat{X}^{0,1/2,1}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}^{0,1/2,1}} \leq C\|f\|_{\hat{X}^{-\frac{3}{4},1/2,1}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}^{-\frac{3}{4},1/2,1}} \\ &\leq C\|f\|_{\hat{X}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

When $2^{k_1} \sim 2^{k_{\max}} \geq C2^{3j}$, with the aid of (2.36) and the fact that $2^{k_1/2}2^{-\frac{3}{2}j} \geq C$, (3.15) can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} &C2^{-5j/4}2^{k_1/2} \sum_{k\geq 0} 2^{-k/2} \|f * g\|_{L^2_\xi L^2_\tau} \\ &\leq C2^{-\frac{5}{4}j}\|f\|_{\hat{X}^{0,1/2,1}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}^{0,1/2,1}} \\ &\leq C\|f\|_{\hat{X}^{-\frac{3}{4},1/2,1}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}^{-\frac{3}{4},1/2,1}} \\ &\leq C\|f\|_{\hat{X}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

When $2^{k_2} \sim 2^{k_{\max}} \geq C2^{2j+j_2}$, this case can be proved similarly to case $2^{k_1} \sim 2^{k_{\max}} \geq C2^{3j}$.

(vi) (a) When $2^{k_1} \sim 2^{k_{\max}} \geq 2^{2j_1+j}$, this case can be proved similarly to $2^{k_1} \sim 2^{k_{\max}}$ of (ii).

(b) When $2^{k_2} \sim 2^{k_{\max}} \geq 2^{2j_1+j}$, this case can be proved similarly to $2^{k_2} \sim 2^{k_{\max}}$ of (ii).

(c) When $2^k \geq 2^{2j_1+j}$. In this case, $|\xi| \left\langle \tau - \xi^3 + \frac{1}{\xi} \right\rangle^{-1} \leq C2^{-2j_1}$ or $|\xi| \leq 2^{k-2j_1}$ in B_k.

By using the Hölder inequality in ξ and the Young inequality as well as (2.38), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| |\xi| \left\langle \tau - \xi^3 + \frac{1}{\xi} \right\rangle^{-1} \langle\xi\rangle^{-3/4} f * g \right\|_{L^2_\xi L^1_\tau(A_0)} \\ &\leq C2^{-2j_1}\|f * g\|_{L^\infty_\xi L^1_\tau(A_0)} \\ &\leq C2^{-j_1/2} \left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{-3/4} f\right\|_{L^2_\xi L^1_\tau} \left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{-3/4} g\right\|_{L^2_\xi L^1_\tau} \\ &\leq C2^{-j_1/2}\|f\|_{\hat{X}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

When $(\xi,\tau)\in D$, since $|\xi|\left\langle \tau-\xi^3+\frac{1}{\xi}\right\rangle^{-1/2}\le C|\xi|^{1/2}2^{-j_1}$, by using Lemma 2.3, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| I_{A_j}\left\langle \tau-\xi^3+\frac{1}{\xi}\right\rangle^{-1}\xi f*g\right\|_{\hat{X}^{-3/4,1/2}}\\
&\le C\left\|\xi\left\langle \tau-\xi^3+\frac{1}{\xi}\right\rangle^{-1/2} f*g\right\|_{L^2}\\
&\le C2^{-j_1}\left\||\xi|^{1/2}f*g\right\|_{L^2}\\
&\le C2^{-j_1}2^{-\frac{j_1}{2}}\|f\|_{\hat{X}^{0,1/2,1}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}^{0,1/2,1}}\\
&\le C\|f\|_{\hat{X}^{-3/4,1/2,1}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}^{-3/4,1/2,1}}\\
&\le C\|f\|_{\hat{X}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}}. \qquad (3.19)
\end{aligned}$$

When (ξ,τ) is outside of D and $|\xi|\le\frac{1}{8}$, we have that

$$|\xi|^{-3}\ge|\tau|=\left|\tau-\xi^3+\frac{1}{\xi}\right|+1-|\xi|^3-|\xi|^{-1}-1\ge 2^k-|\xi|^3-|\xi|^{-1}-1. \qquad (3.20)$$

From (3.20), we have that $|\xi|\le C2^{-j_1/2}$. We consider the following two cases:

$$(1): 2^{-(3-\epsilon)j_1}\le|\xi|\le C2^{-j_1/2}, \qquad (3.21)$$

$$(2): |\xi|\le 2^{-(3-\epsilon)j_1}. \qquad (3.22)$$

Case (1) can be proved similarly to $f*g\subset\left\{(\xi,\tau)\in\mathbf{R}^2:|\xi|\le 1,|\tau|\le|\xi|^{-3}\right\}$ of (iv) in [20]. Now we deal with case (2). In this case, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| I_{A_j}\left\langle \tau-\xi^3+\frac{1}{\xi}\right\rangle^{-1}\xi f*g\right\|_{\hat{X}}\\
&\le C\left\| I_{A_j}\left\langle \tau-\xi^3+\frac{1}{\xi}\right\rangle^{-1}\xi f*g\right\|_{\hat{X}^{-3/4,1/2,1}}\\
&\le C2^j\sum_{k\ge 0}2^{-k/2}\|f*g\|_{L^2(A_j\cap B_k)}\le C(v_1+v_2), \qquad (3.23)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
v_1&=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{-(3-\epsilon)j_1}2^j\sum_{k\ge 2j_1+j}^{10j_1}2^{-k/2}\left\|f*g\right\|_{L^2(B_k)},\\
v_2&=\sum_{j=-\infty}^{-(3-\epsilon)j_1}2^j\sum_{k\ge 10j_1}2^{-k/2}\left\|f*g\right\|_{L^2(B_k)}.
\end{aligned}$$

By using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality with respect to τ and $\frac{j_1}{2^{(1-\epsilon)j_1}} \leq 1 (j \geq 10)$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_1 &\leq C j_1 \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-(3-\epsilon)j_1} 2^j \|f * g\|_{L^2_\xi L^\infty_\tau} \leq C j_1 \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-(3-\epsilon)j_1} 2^j \|f\|_{L^2_\xi L^2_\tau} \|g\|_{L^1_\xi L^2_\tau} \\
&\leq C j_1 2^{-(\frac{5}{2}-\epsilon)j_1} \|f\|_{L^2_\xi L^2_\tau} \|g\|_{L^2_\xi L^2_\tau} \leq C j_1 2^{-(1-\epsilon)j_1} \|f\|_{\hat{X}^{-3/4,1/2,1}} \|g\|_{\hat{X}^{-3/4,1/2,1}} \\
&\leq C \|f\|_{\hat{X}^{-3/4,1/2,1}} \|g\|_{\hat{X}^{-3/4,1/2,1}} \\
&\leq C \|f\|_{\hat{X}} \|g\|_{\hat{X}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

By using Lemma 2.3, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_2 &\leq C 2^{-11 j_1/2} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-(3-\epsilon)j_1} 2^{j/2} \|f\|_{\hat{X}^{0,1/2,1}} \|g\|_{\hat{X}^{0,1/2,1}} \leq C 2^{-\frac{14-\epsilon}{2} j_1} \|f\|_{\hat{X}^{0,1/2,1}} \|g\|_{\hat{X}^{0,1/2,1}} \\
&\leq C 2^{-\frac{11-\epsilon}{2} j_1} \|f\|_{\hat{X}^{-3/4,1/2,1}} \|g\|_{\hat{X}^{-3/4,1/2,1}} \leq C \|f\|_{\hat{X}^{-3/4,1/2,1}} \|g\|_{\hat{X}^{-3/4,1/2,1}} \\
&\leq C \|f\|_{\hat{X}} \|g\|_{\hat{X}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

When (ξ, τ) is outside of D and $|\xi| \geq \frac{1}{8}$, this case can be proved similarly to (ii).

(vii) When $(\xi_2, \tau_2) \in A_0$ we consider $|\xi_2| \leq 2^{-2j}$, $2^{-2j} \leq |\xi_2| \leq \frac{1}{8}$ and $\frac{1}{8} < |\xi_2| \leq 1$, respectively.

(a) Case $|\xi_2| \leq 2^{-2j}$. By using the Young inequality and the Hölder inequality and (2.72), we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\| I_{A_j} \xi f * g \right\|_{\hat{X}^{-3/4,-1/2,1}} \leq C 2^j \left\| \langle \xi \rangle^{-3/4} f \right\|_{L^2_\xi L^1_\tau} \|g\|_{L^1_\xi L^2_\tau} \\
&\leq C \left\| \langle \xi \rangle^{-3/4} f \right\|_{L^2_\xi L^1_\tau} \|g\|_{L^2_\xi L^2_\tau} \\
&\leq C \|f\|_{\hat{X}^{-3/4,1/2,1}} \|g\|_{\hat{X}^{-3/4,1/2}} \\
&\leq C \|f\|_{\hat{X}} \|g\|_{\hat{X}}. \qquad (3.24)
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) $2^{-2j} \leq |\xi_2| \leq \frac{1}{8}$, cases $2^k \sim 2^{k_{max}}$ and $2^{k_1} \sim 2^{k_{max}}$ can be proved similarly to cases $2^k \sim 2^{k_{max}}$ and $2^{k_1} \sim 2^{k_{max}}$ of (v) in [20].

Case $2^{k_2} \sim 2^{k_{max}}$ and $(\tau_2, \xi_2) \in D$.

We only consider $2^{k_2} \sim 2^{k_{max}} > 4\max\left\{2^k, 2^{k_1}\right\}$, otherwise, $2^{k_2} \sim 2^{k_{max}} \leq 4\max\left\{2^k, 2^{k_1}\right\}$ which boils down to cases $2^k \sim 2^{k_{max}}$ and $2^{k_1} \sim 2^{k_{max}}$.

In this case, we claim that $3|\xi\xi_1\xi_2| \geq \frac{\xi_1^2+\xi_1\xi_2+\xi_2^2}{|\xi\xi_1\xi_2|}$. If $3|\xi\xi_1\xi_2| \leq \frac{\xi_1^2+\xi_1\xi_2+\xi_2^2}{|\xi\xi_1\xi_2|}$, since $|\tau_2| \geq |\xi_2|^{-3}$, then we have

$$
\frac{1}{2}|\xi_2|^{-3} \leq |\tau_2| - |\xi_2|^3 - \frac{1}{|\xi_2|} \leq \left| \tau_2 - \xi_2^3 + \frac{1}{\xi_2} \right| \leq \frac{4(\xi_1^2 + \xi_1\xi_2 + \xi_2^2)}{|\xi\xi_1\xi_2|}, \qquad (3.25)
$$

from (3.25), we have

$$\frac{\xi_1^2+\xi_1\xi_2+\xi_2^2}{|\xi\xi_1|}\geq\frac{1}{8}|\xi_2|^{-2}\geq 8, \tag{3.26}$$

from (3.26), since $\xi\xi_1>0$, we have that

$$\xi_1^2+\xi_1\xi_2+\xi_2^2\geq 8|\xi\xi_1|=8\xi\xi_1=8\xi_1^2+8\xi_1\xi_2, \tag{3.27}$$

which yields

$$7\xi_1^2+7\xi_1\xi_2\leq\xi_2^2\leq\frac{1}{64}. \tag{3.28}$$

(3.28) is invalid. Thus, $3|\xi\xi_1\xi_2|\geq\frac{\xi_1^2+\xi_1\xi_2+\xi_2^2}{|\xi\xi_1\xi_2|}$. Thus, in this case $2^{-3j_2}\sim\frac{1}{2}|\xi_2|^{-3}\leq|\tau_2-\xi_2^3+\frac{1}{\xi_2}|\sim|\xi\xi_1\xi_2|\sim 2^{2j+j_2}$ which yields $|\xi_2|\sim 2^{j_2}\sim 2^{-j/2}$. Consequently, $C2^{3j/2}\leq|\tau_2|\sim\left|\tau_2-\xi_2^3+\frac{1}{\xi_2}\right|\leq C2^{2j}$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that g is supported on $A_0\cap B_{[3j/2,2j]}$.

(1) When g is supported on $[B_{3j/2,\frac{3j}{2}+\gamma]}$ with $0\leq\gamma\leq\frac{j}{2}$, for any $\gamma^{'}\geq 0$, by using the Young inequality and $|\xi|\leq C|\xi_2|^{-1/2}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|I_{B_{\geq\gamma^{'}}}\xi f*g\right\|_{\widehat{X}^{-3/4,-\frac{1}{2},1}}\\
&\leq C\sum_{k\geq\gamma^{'}}2^{-k/2}\left\|\langle\xi\rangle^{-3/4}f\right\|_{L^2_\xi L^1_\tau}\left\||\xi|^{-1/2}\left\langle\tau-\xi^3+\frac{1}{\xi}\right\rangle^{1/2}g\right\|_{L^1_\xi L^2_\tau(B_{[\frac{3j}{2},\frac{3j}{2}+\gamma]})}\\
&\leq C2^{-\gamma^{'}/2}\|f\|_{\widehat{X}^{-3/4,-\frac{1}{2},1}}\||\xi|^{-1/2}\|_{L^2_\xi(\{C2^{-j/2}\leq|\xi|\leq C2^{-\frac{j}{2}+\gamma}\})}\|g\|_{\widehat{X}^{0,\frac{1}{2}}}\\
&\leq C(\langle\gamma\rangle)^{1/2}2^{-\gamma^{'}/2}\|f\|_{\widehat{X}^{-3/4,\frac{1}{2},1}}\|g\|_{\widehat{X}^{-3/4,\frac{1}{2}}}\\
&\leq C\|f\|_{\hat{X}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}}
\end{aligned}\tag{3.29}$$

(2) When g is supported on $B_{[\frac{3j}{2}+\gamma^{'},\frac{3j}{2}]}$ with $0\leq\gamma^{'}\leq\frac{j}{2}$, for any $\gamma\geq 0$, by using the Young inequality and $|\xi|\leq C|\xi_2|^{-1/2}$, by using Lemma 2.6, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|I_{B_{\leq\gamma}}\xi f*g\right\|_{\widehat{X}^{-3/4,-\frac{1}{2},1}}\\
&\leq C\sum_{k\leq\gamma}2^{-k/2}\left\|(\langle\xi\rangle^{-3/4}f)*(|\xi|^{-1/2}\left\langle\tau-\xi^3+\frac{1}{\xi}\right\rangle^{1/2}g)\right\|_{L^2(B_k)}\\
&\leq C\langle\gamma\rangle 2^{-j/2}\|f\|_{\widehat{X}^{-3/4,\frac{1}{2},1}}\left\||\xi|^{-1/2}\left\langle\tau-\xi^3+\frac{1}{\xi}\right\rangle^{1/2}g\right\|_{L^2_{\tau\xi}(|\xi|\geq C2^{-\frac{j}{2}+\gamma^{'}})}\\
&\leq C(\langle\gamma\rangle)2^{-\gamma^{'}/2}\|f\|_{\widehat{X}^{-3/4,\frac{1}{2},1}}\|g\|_{\widehat{X}^{-3/4,\frac{1}{2}}}\\
&\leq C\|f\|_{\hat{X}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}}
\end{aligned}\tag{3.30}$$

Let $\gamma_0 = \frac{j}{2}(\geq 2^{N+2})$, $\gamma_{n+1} = 2\log_2\gamma_n$, $6 \leq \gamma_N < 8$. Firstly, we apply (1) with $\gamma = \gamma_0$ and $\gamma^{'} = \gamma_1$, then apply (2) with $\gamma = \gamma_1, \gamma^{'} = \gamma_2$. Repeating this procedure, at the end applying (1) with $\gamma = \gamma_{N-1}$ and $\gamma^{'} = 0$, combining (1) with (2), by using Lemma 2.7, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\xi f * g\|_{\widehat{X}^{-3/4,-\frac{1}{2},1}} \\
&\leq C(1 + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \frac{1}{\gamma_n^{1/2}})\|f\|_{\widehat{X}^{-3/4,\frac{1}{2},1}}\|g\|_{\widehat{X}^{-3/4,\frac{1}{2},1}} \\
&\leq C\|f\|_{\widehat{X}^{-3/4,\frac{1}{2},1}}\|g\|_{\widehat{X}^{-3/4,\frac{1}{2}}} \\
&\leq C\|f\|_{\hat{X}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}}. \qquad (3.31)
\end{aligned}$$

Case $2^{k_2} \sim 2^{k_{max}}$ and (ξ_2, τ_2) is outside of D. In this case, from Lemma 2.8, we have that $2^{k_2} \sim 2^{k_{\max}} \geq C2^{2j}|\xi_2|$ which yields that $|\xi_2| \leq C2^{k_2-2j}$. By using the proof similar to (3.10) of [20], we have that

$$\|\xi f * g\|_{\widehat{X}^{-3/4,-\frac{1}{2},1}} \leq C\|f\|_{\widehat{X}^{-3/4,\frac{1}{2},1}}\|g\|_{\widehat{X}^{-3/4,\frac{1}{2},1}} \leq C\|f\|_{\hat{X}}\|g\|_{\hat{X}}. \qquad (3.32)$$

By using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality in τ, we have that (3.2) can be bounded by

$$\|\xi f * g\|_{\widehat{X}^{-3/4,-\frac{1}{2},1}}$$

in this case, which can be proved similarly to (3.1) in this case.

(c) $\frac{1}{8} < |\xi_2| < 1$. This case can be proved similarly to case (iii).

(viii) This case can be proved similarly to case (vii).

We have completed the proof of Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $u, v \in X$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}\left[\left\langle \tau - \xi^3 + \frac{1}{\xi}\right\rangle^{-1} \mathscr{F}\left[\partial_x(uv)\right]\right]\right\|_X + \left\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}\left[\left\langle \tau - \xi^3 + \frac{1}{\xi}\right\rangle^{-1} \mathscr{F}\left[\partial_x(uv)\right]\right]\right\|_Y \\
&\leq C\|u\|_X\|v\|_X. \qquad (3.33)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. To prove (3.33), it suffices to prove that

$$\left\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}\left[\left\langle \tau - \xi^3 + \frac{1}{\xi}\right\rangle^{-1} \mathscr{F}\left[\partial_x(uv)\right]\right]\right\|_X \leq C\|u\|_X\|v\|_X. \qquad (3.34)$$

$$\left\|\mathscr{F}^{-1}\left[\left\langle \tau - \xi^3 + \frac{1}{\xi}\right\rangle^{-1} \mathscr{F}\left[\partial_x(uv)\right]\right]\right\|_Y \leq C\|u\|_X\|v\|_X. \qquad (3.35)$$

We first prove (3.34). By using $\|f\|_{\hat{X}}^2 = \sum_{j\geq 0} \|I_{A_j} f\|_{\hat{X}}^2$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \mathscr{F}^{-1}\left[\left\langle \tau - \xi^3 + \frac{1}{\xi} \right\rangle^{-1} \mathscr{F}\left[\partial_x(uv)\right] \right] \right\|_X^2 \\
&= \sum_{j,j_1 j_2 \geq 0} \left\| \xi \left\langle \tau - \xi^3 + \frac{1}{\xi} \right\rangle^{-1} I_{A_j}(I_{A_{j_1}} \mathscr{F} u) * (I_{A_{j_2}} \mathscr{F} v) \right\|_{\hat{X}}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{6} T_j,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
T_1 &= \sum_{j,j_1 j_2 \geq 0,\, i} \left\| \xi \left\langle \tau - \xi^3 + \frac{1}{\xi} \right\rangle^{-1} I_{A_j}(I_{A_{j_1}} \mathscr{F} u) * (I_{A_{j_2}} \mathscr{F} v) \right\|_{\hat{X}}^2, \\
T_2 &= \sum_{j,j_1 j_2 \geq 0,\, ii} \left\| \xi \left\langle \tau - \xi^3 + \frac{1}{\xi} \right\rangle^{-1} I_{A_j}(I_{A_{j_1}} \mathscr{F} u) * (I_{A_{j_2}} \mathscr{F} v) \right\|_{\hat{X}}^2, \\
T_3 &= \sum_{j,j_1 j_2 \geq 0,\, iii} \left\| \xi \left\langle \tau - \xi^3 + \frac{1}{\xi} \right\rangle^{-1} I_{A_j}(I_{A_{j_1}} \mathscr{F} u) * (I_{A_{j_2}} \mathscr{F} v) \right\|_{\hat{X}}^2, \\
T_4 &= \sum_{j,j_1 j_2 \geq 0,\, iv} \left\| \xi \left\langle \tau - \xi^3 + \frac{1}{\xi} \right\rangle^{-1} I_{A_j}(I_{A_{j_1}} \mathscr{F} u) * (I_{A_{j_2}} \mathscr{F} v) \right\|_{\hat{X}}^2, \\
T_5 &= \sum_{j,j_1 j_2 \geq 0,\, v} \left\| \xi \left\langle \tau - \xi^3 + \frac{1}{\xi} \right\rangle^{-1} I_{A_j}(I_{A_{j_1}} \mathscr{F} u) * (I_{A_{j_2}} \mathscr{F} v) \right\|_{\hat{X}}^2, \\
T_6 &= \sum_{j,j_1 j_2 \geq 0,\, vi} \left\| \xi \left\langle \tau - \xi^3 + \frac{1}{\xi} \right\rangle^{-1} I_{A_j}(I_{A_{j_1}} \mathscr{F} u) * (I_{A_{j_2}} \mathscr{F} v) \right\|_{\hat{X}}^2, \\
T_7 &= \sum_{j,j_1 j_2 \geq 0,\, vii} \left\| \xi \left\langle \tau - \xi^3 + \frac{1}{\xi} \right\rangle^{-1} I_{A_j}(I_{A_{j_1}} \mathscr{F} u) * (I_{A_{j_2}} \mathscr{F} v) \right\|_{\hat{X}}^2, \\
T_8 &= \sum_{j,j_1 j_2 \geq 0,\, viii} \left\| \xi \left\langle \tau - \xi^3 + \frac{1}{\xi} \right\rangle^{-1} I_{A_j}(I_{A_{j_1}} \mathscr{F} u) * (I_{A_{j_2}} \mathscr{F} v) \right\|_{\hat{X}}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

here $(i), (ii), (iii), (iv), (v), (vi), (vii), (viii)$ is case $(i), (ii), (iii), (iv), (v), (vi), (vii), (viii)$ of Lemmas 3.1, 3.2. Combining $T_j (1 \leq j \leq 8, j \in N)$, Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 with $\|f\|_{\hat{X}}^2 = \sum_{j\geq 0} \|I_{A_j} f\|_{\hat{X}}^2$, we easily obtain (3.34). By using a proof similarly to (3.34), we easily obtain (3.35).

We have completed the proof of Lemma 3.2.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

(1.1)-(1.2) is equivalent to the following integral equation:

$$u(t) = e^{-t(-\partial_x^3 + \partial_x^{-1})} u_0 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)(-\partial_x^3 + \partial_x^{-1})} \partial_x (u^2) ds. \tag{4.1}$$

We define

$$\Phi(u) = e^{-t(-\partial_x^3+\partial_x^{-1})}u_0 + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t e^{-(t-s)(-\partial_x^3+\partial_x^{-1})}\partial_x(u^2)ds. \tag{4.2}$$

By using Lemma 2.10 and Lemma 3.2, we have that

$$\|\Phi(u)\|_{X_1} + \sup_{-1\le t\le 1}\|\Phi(u)\|_{H^{-3/4}(\mathbf{R})} \le C\|u_0\|_{H^{-3/4}(\mathbf{R})} + C\|u\|_{X_1}^2, \tag{4.3}$$

when $\|u_0\|_{H^{-3/4}}$ is sufficiently small, we have that $\Phi(u)$ is a contraction mapping on some closed ball in $X_1 \cap C_t^0([-1,1]; H^{-3/4}(\mathbf{R}))$. Thus Φ have a fixed point u, which is the local solution of (4.1) and thus (1.1)(1.2). For large data, by taking $u_{\lambda 0}(x) = \lambda^{-2}u_0\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)$, we have that $\|u_{\lambda 0}\|_{H^{-3/4}(\mathbf{R})} \le C\lambda^{-3/4}\|u_0\|_{H^{-3/4}(\mathbf{R})}$. Taking λ sufficiently large, then $\|u_{\lambda 0}\|_{H^s(\mathbf{R})}$ is sufficiently small, then there is a solution to (1.1) associated to the initial function $u_{\lambda 0}(x,0)$, and thus (1.1)(1.2) admit a solution. The Lipschitz dependence of solutions on the data and the uniqueness of the solutions can be found in [20, 24].

We have completed the proof of Theorem 1.1.

5. Appendix

Example 1. (high $\times$ high$\mapsto$ low interaction.) Let Rec be the region in $R^2_{\tau\xi}$ inside the parallelogram with vertices

$$(\tau,\xi) = (N^3, N), (N^3 + N^{\frac{3}{2}}, N + \frac{1}{3}N^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \tag{5.1}$$

$$\left((N+\frac{1}{3}N^{-\frac{1}{2}})^3, N+\frac{1}{3}N^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right), \left(N^3+\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{27}N^{-\frac{3}{2}}, N\right), \tag{5.2}$$

where N is a sufficiently large positive number. It is easily checked that Rec is included in the region $\left\{|\tau - \xi^3 + \frac{1}{\xi}| < 1\right\}$, has the longest side pointing at the direction $(3N^2, 1)$ and $|Rec| \sim N^{-1/2}$. We put R_0 equal to the translation of R centered at the origin. Let

$$\mathscr{F}u(\tau,\xi) := I_{Rec}, \mathscr{F}v(\tau,\xi) = \mathscr{F}u(-\tau,-\xi), \tag{5.3}$$

where I_Ω denotes the characteristic function of a set Ω. By a direct computation, we have that

$$\|u\|_{X^{-\frac{3}{4},b}} = \|v\|_{X^{-\frac{3}{4},b}} \sim N^{-1}, \mathscr{F}(uv) \ge CN^{-\frac{1}{2}}I_{R_0}, \|\partial_x(uv)\|_{X^{-\frac{3}{4},b-1}} \ge CN^{\frac{6b-11}{4}}. \tag{5.4}$$

Then

$$\|\partial_x(fg)\|_{X^{-\frac{3}{4},\,b-1}} \leq C\|f\|_{X^{-\frac{3}{4},\,b}}\|g\|_{X^{-\frac{3}{4},\,b}}. \tag{5.5}$$

is invalid for $b > 1/2$.

Example 2. (high $\times$ low $\mapsto$ high interaction.) Let $\mathscr{F}u = I_{Rec}$, $\mathscr{F}v = I_{R_0}$. By a direct computation, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u\|_{X^{-\frac{3}{4},b}} \sim N^{-1}, \|v\|_{X^{-\frac{3}{4},b}} \sim N^{\frac{6b-1}{4}}, \\ &\mathscr{F}(uv) \geq CN^{-\frac{1}{2}}I_{Rec}, \|\partial_x(uv)\|_{X^{-frac34,b}} \geq CN^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

thus,

$$\|\partial_x(fg)\|_{X^{-\frac{3}{4},\,b-1}} \leq C\|f\|_{X^{-\frac{3}{4},\,b}}\|g\|_{X^{-\frac{3}{4},\,b}} \tag{5.7}$$

is invalid for $b < 1/2$.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China under grant numbers 11171116 and 11401180. The first author is also supported in part by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities of China under the grant number 2012ZZ0072. The second author is supported by the NSF of China (No.11371367) and Fundamental research program of NUDT(JC12-02-03). The third author is also supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China under grant number 14IRTSTHN023..

References

References

[1] I. Bejenaru, T. Tao Sharp well-posedness and ill-posedness results for a quadratic non-linear Schrödinger equation, *J. Funct. Anal.* 233(2006), 228-259.

[2] E.S. Benilov, On the surface waves in a shallow channel with an uneven bottom, *Stud. Appl. Math.* 87 (1992), 1C14.

[3] J. Bourgain, Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations, part I: Schrödinger equations, *Geom. Funct. Anal.,* 3(1993), 107-156.

[4] J. Bourgain, Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations, part II: The KdV equation, *Geom. Funct. Anal.,* 3(1993), 209-262.

[5] V. N. Galkin and Y. A. Stepanyants, On the existence of stationary solitary waves in a rotating fluid, *J. Appl. Math. Mech.* 55 (1991), 939-943.

[6] O. A. Gilman, R. Grimshaw and Y.A. Stepanyants, Approximate and numerical solutions of the stationary Ostrovsky equation, *Stud. Appl. Math.* 95 (1995), 115-126.

[7] B. L. Guo, Z. H. Huo, The global attractor of the damped forced Ostrovsky equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 329(2007), 392-407.

[8] Z. H. Guo, Global well-posedness of the Korteweg-de Vries equation in $H^{-3/4}$, J. Math. Pures Appl., 91(2009), 583-597.

[9] G. L. Gui, Y. Liu, On the Cauchy problem for the Ostrovsky equation with positive dispersion, Comm. Partial Diff. Eqns., 32(2007), 1895-1916.

[10] Z. H. Huo, Y. L. Jia, Low regularity solution for a nonlocal perturbation of KdV equation, Zeitschrift $f\ddot{u}$ rangewandte Mathematik und Physik, 59(2008), 634-646.

[11] Z. Huo, Y. L. Jia, Low-regularity solutions for the Ostrovsky equation, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc.,* 49(2006), 87-100.

[12] P. Isaza, Unique continuation principle for the Ostrovsky equation with negative dispersion, J. Diff. Eqns., 225(2013), 796-811.

[13] P. Isaza, J. Mejía, Cauchy problem for the Ostrovsky equation in spaces of low regularity, J. Diff. Eqns., 230(2006), 661-681.

[14] P. Isaza, J. Mejía, Global Cauchy problem for the Ostrovsky equation, Nonlinear Anal. TMA, 67(2007), 1482-1503.

[15] P. Isaza, J. Mejía, Local well-posedness and quantitative ill-posedness for the Ostrovsky equation, Nonlinear Anal. TMA, 70(2009), 2306-2316.

[16] P. Isaza, J. Mejía, On the support of solutions to the Ostrovsky equation with negative dispersion, J. Diff. Eqns., 247(2009), 1851-1865.

[17] P. Isaza, J. Mejía, On the support of solutions to the Ostrovsky equation with positive dispersion, Nonlinear Anal., TMA, 72(2010), 4016-4029.

[18] A. D. Ionescu, C. E. Kenig, Global well-posedness of the Benjamin-Ono equation in low-regularity spaces, J. Amer. Math. Soc.20 (2007), 753-798.

[19] A. D. Ionescu, C. E. Kenig, D. Tataru, Global well-posedness of the KP-I initial-value problem in the energy space, Invent. Math., 173(2008), 265-304.

[20] N. Kishimoto, Well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation at the critical regularity, Diff. Int. Eqns., 22(2009), 447-464.

[21] F. Linares, A. Milanés, Local and global well-posedness for the Ostrovsky equation, J. Diff. Eqns., 222(2006), 325-340.

[22] S. Levandosky, Y. Liu, Stability and weak rotation limit of solitary waves of the Ostrovsky equation, *Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems- Seris B* 7(2007), 793-806.

[23] Y. Liu, V. Varlamov, Stability of solitary waves and weak rotation limit for the Ostrovsky equation, J. Diff. Eqns., 203(2004), 159-183.

[24] T. Muramatu, S. Taoka, The initial value problem for the 1-D semilinear Schrodinger equation in Besov space, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 56(2004), 853-888.

[25] T. Tao, Multilinear weighted convolution of L^2 functions, and applications to nonlinear dispersive equations, *Amer. J. Math.,* 123(2001), 839-908.

[26] K. Tsugawa, Well-posedness and weak rotation limit for the Ostrovsky equation, *J. Diff. Eqns.,* 247(2009), 3163-3180.

[27] L. A. Ostrovskii, Nonlinear internal waves in a rotating ocean, Okeanologiya, 18(1978), 181-191.

[28] V. Varlamov , Y. Liu, Cauchy problem for the Ostrovsky equation, Discrete Cont. Dyn. Sys. A, 10(2004), 731-753.

[29] I. Zaiter, Remarks on the Ostrovsky equation, Diff. Int. Eqns., 20(2007), 815-840.

[30] P. Z. Zhang, Y. Liu, Symmetry and uniqueness of the solitary-wave solution for the Ostrovsky equation, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 196(2010), 811-837.